

Role of non-commutative geometry in gravitational collapse scenarios

Amir Hadi ZIAIE ¹

¹ *Department of Physics, Shahid Bahonar University, Kerman, Iran*
E-mail: ah.ziaie@gmail.com

Abstract: The collapse process of a homogeneous scalar field has been studied by many authors and it has been shown that such a process would end in a curvature singularity which can be either hidden behind a horizon or be visible to external observers. In the present work, we revisit the collapse process of a spherically symmetric homogeneous scalar field (in FRW background) minimally coupled to gravity, when the phase-space deformations are taken into account. Such a deformation is mathematically introduced as a particular type of noncommutativity between the canonical momenta of the scale factor and of the scalar field. In the absence of such deformation, the collapse culminates in a spacetime singularity. However, when the phase-space is deformed, we find that the singularity is removed by a non-singular bounce, beyond which the collapsing cloud re-expands to infinity. More precisely, for negative values of the deformation parameter, we identify the emergence of a negative pressure term, which slows down the collapse to finally avoid the singularity formation. Depending on the model parameters, one can find a minimum value for the boundary of the collapsing cloud or correspondingly a threshold value for the mass content below which no horizon would form. Such a setting predicts a threshold mass for black hole formation in stellar collapse and manifests the role of non-commutative geometry in physics and especially in stellar collapse and supernova explosion. [1–3].

Keywords: gravitational collapse, non-commutative geometry, non-linear differential equations, numerical method

REFERENCES

- [1] Pankaj S. Joshi, “Gravitational collapse and spacetime singularities,” Cambridge University Press (2007).
- [2] S. M. M. Rasouli, A. H. Ziaie, J. Marto, P. V. Moniz, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 044028 (2014).
- [3] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73** 977 (2001);
R. J. Szabo, *Phys. Rep.* **378**, 207 (2003).